



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/736,249	12/15/2003	Dan B. Nelson	OWT 0196 PUS	6432	
22045	7590 03/24/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C. 1000 TOWN CENTER			GOODMAN,	GOODMAN, CHARLES	
	ECOND FLOOR		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
SOUTHFIEL	D, MI 48075		3724		

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			TYYK
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/736,249	NELSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles Goodman	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply on. i, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atatute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this commit DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur	llowance except for formal matters	•	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction is	thdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form P1O-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in Apple priority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Sta	nge
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/522) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/15/03. 		lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mroczkowski.

Mroczkowski discloses a tool for cutting wood material comprising all the elements claimed including, *inter alia*, a fluoropolymer coating, i.e. Teflon™, forming a low friction surface for cooperating with a workpiece placed thereon. See c. 8, ll. 14-20.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 3724

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior Art of Record in view of Mroczkowski.

The Prior Art of Record discloses the invention substantially as claimed in that the scroll saw, band saw, and the circular table saws, i.e. "motorized wood working tool," and the claimed features for these saws are all prior art with the exception of the tabletop surface having a fluoropolymer coating. In that regard, Mroczkowski clearly teaches a wood working table (8) having a fluoropolymer coating, i.e. Teflon™, forming a low friction surface for cooperating with a workpiece placed thereon. See c. 8, ll. 14-20. Due to the fact that it is old and well known in the wood working art that low friction surfaces are desirable, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time of the instant invention to provide the Prior Art of Record with the coating as taught by Mroczkowski in order to provide a low friction surface for working of wood.

Regarding claims 5 and 15, the modified device of the Prior Art of Record in view of Mroczkowski discloses the invention substantially as claimed except that Mroczkowski is silent on primer coating for application of the fluoropolymer coating. On the one hand, in terms of structure, how the fluoropolymer coating is applied, i.e. the method of application, is not germane to the structure itself. In the alternative, application of a primer coating prior to applying the fluoropolymer coating is old and well known in the art in situations where the substrate may not have the material characteristic suitable or sufficient for application of the fluoropolymer coating.

Therefore, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it would have been obvious to the

Art Unit: 3724

ordinary artisan at the time of the instant invention to provide primer coating for application of the fluoropolymer coating as noted *supra*.

Regarding e.g. claim 8, these are all well known art recognized forms of fluoropolymer coating material that provide low friction, e.g. Teflon™.

Regarding e.g. claim 6, these are well known materials for the tabletop in the wood working art.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Goodman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4508. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap, can be reached on (571) 272-4514. In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3724

cg // March 21, 2005 Charles Goodman Primary Examiner AU 3724

ARLES GOOL MARY EXAM